

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov		
	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.

APPLICATION NO. 09/895,432

FILING DATE 06/29/2001

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Puncet Kukkal

42390P11150

8961

Seventh Floor

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

12/06/2006

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

EXAMINER

BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2143

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/895,432	KUKKAL, PUNEET		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Asghar Bilgrami	2143		

	Asghar Bilgrami	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendm tice of Appeal (with appeal	nent, affidavit, or other evider fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the	ne mailing date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for re than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The appropr eply originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 n	nust be filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing	a brief, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		<b>,,</b>	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		) ⊠ will be entered and an €	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <i>1-16 and 20-30</i> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 20-30</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of fil d sufficient reasons why the	ing a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> e affidavit or other evidence i	<u>ot</u> be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections unde	er appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attact	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	•		nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	r i Olobiub) Paper No(s).	<del></del>	
13. Other:			
		COAVID WALEY	
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	<b>NINER</b>
		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 21	



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Allof applicants arguments have been previously addressed in the final office action dated 09/21/2006 Additionally the examier statesat that one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).